

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

Civil Writ Petition No.3580 of 2007

With

Civil Writ Petition Nos.3587, 3588 & 3592 of 2007

Mrs.Rashmi Kishore Gala

Petitioner

Vs.

Prafulkumar Raghavji Kenia & ors.

Respondents

Mr.A.S.Khandeparkar with Mr.Mohan P. Tekavde and
Mrs. Swati M. Tekavde for petitioner.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.

May 03, 2007.

P.C.

. All these four writ petitions can be disposed off by a common order and more particularly because the impugned interlocutory orders arise from the revision applications filed by the petitioner in all these petitions.

. By the impugned order the stay application at Exhibit 6 filed in the revision applications have been directed to be kept with revision applications. Division Bench of the Revision Court has held that when the revision applications were taken out for final hearing, the learned Advocate for the revision applicant was not ready to go ahead and instead he insisted on hearing the application at Exhibit 6.

. No error could be found with the impugned orders and it was the obligation of the revision applicant to proceed with the hearing on the revision applications rather than insisting for the decision on the applications at Exhibit 6.

. Mr.Khandeparkar, the learned counsel for the petitioner referred to one of the interim prayers made in the revision applications itself and more particularly for leave to deposit the rental compensation [prayer clause (b)]. Such a prayer was not made in the application at Exhibit 6 and, therefore, the same cannot be considered for the first time by this Court. However, Mr.Khandeparkar agreed that the revision applications would be argued as and when listed before the revision Court and the petitioner would be ready to go ahead with the final hearing in the revision proceedings.

. Hence the writ petitions are disposed off by directing that the revision applications shall be heard and decided as expeditiously as possible and preferably by 31st August 2007. In the meanwhile if the petitioner prefers an application seeking leave to deposit the rental compensation, the said

application shall be heard and decided within two weeks on its presentation. The application to be presented within one week from today.

(B.H.MARL